

THERMOGRAFIX

consulting corporation

SOIL STIMULANT FOR AGRICULTURAL APPLICATION

The purpose of this initial investigation & application with this natural soil stimulant was to determine the effectiveness in a garden application.

Canada alone uses 110 million pounds of pesticides a year and those toxins get into surface and groundwater. Waste water treatment isn't designed to catch trace chemicals and the toxins are introduced into global water supplies.

The Environmental Working Group published the Body Burden_Pollution in Newborns where they tested umbilical cord blood in randomly picked U.S. hospitals. Of the chemicals they tested for, they found an average of over 200 in each umbilical cord, including banned pesticides. Our medical support stated a fetus would be in a 911 crisis from conception with these chemicals in their unprotected bodies and that children don't have cancer at 10, they have had it for 10 years and 9 months. The toxicity ratio for the newborns was 100%.

The garden test was limited because it rained for weeks and application of the product was extremely limited. The pumpkins fed the soil stimulant invaded the tomatoes fed the soil stimulant yet the yield was 3 times the tomatoes that weren't fed the soil stimulant.

The properties of the soil stimulant have the plants absorbing more nutrients and repelling bugs. The natural defense system is bugs don't like the taste or the smell.

We used the product as a foliage spray and watering at a 10 to 1 ratio. Important considerations were given to times of use. Solar radiation and the same UV that burns skin generated heat that killed plants when not applied properly. Solar EMF interaction with different materials can be seen in the infrared spectrum at www.thermoguy.com/urbanheat.html

Crops having the potential to absorb 370% more nutrition and 100% non toxic has limitless opportunities. More yield creating more food for countries, more revenues for farmers, more jobs, more economy and eliminating toxins affecting global health.

Following this initial investigation, tests were done in orchards where mites left, trees grew better, more flowers, bigger grains of rice, more produce, more absorption of nutrients, fire ants left, and the list goes on.


Contact us for more information. The 10 to 1 ratio used is one of many depending on what the application is.

Curtis Bennett
Chief Science Officer
Adjunct Faculty For IHF & GEDI
www.thermoguy.com
Curtis.Bennett@thermoguy.com
Ph: 604-239-2694

"Bringing the Invisible to Light Since 1979"



Without Soil Stimulant June 3rd



With Soil Stimulant June 3rd



Without Soil Stimulant June 27th



With Soil Stimulant June 27th



Without Soil Stimulant August 4th



With Soil Stimulant August 4th



Without Soil Stimulant June 10th



With Soil Stimulant June 10th



Without Soil Stimulant June 10th



With Soil Stimulant June 10th

In the electronic format use the magnification features of the viewer to magnify images.

The set of pictures on the left side of the page are tomato plants being attacked by bugs where the right side shows a tomato plant with soil stimulant and no bug attack.

Once the tomato plant on the left was being attacked by bugs, the foliage on this one plant was sprayed with soil stimulant and the bugs left.

Note the pumpkins on the right side? I fed the pumpkins soil stimulant without understanding distances pumpkins grow. In time the pumpkins overwhelmed the tomatoes fed soil stimulant yet the yield for the tomatoes fed stimulant was still significantly higher than tomatoes not fed soil stimulant.

Soil Stimulant Results



The arrows are highlighting the tomatoes which did not have soil stimulant applied. The tomatoes fed soil stimulant were bigger as a rule and more of them.



The white arrow is highlighting the only tomato which was not fed soil stimulant.



The white arrow is highlighting the only tomato not fed soil stimulant.