

Government of Canada Health Canada

Att: Health Minister & Minister of Defence

Re: Response to File # 11-003682 - 330 RE & Smart Meter Legality

Dear Minister.

I'll start by expressing disappointment and concern for Health Canada, Defence Ministry, MP Ron Cannan and the Government of Canada's handling of our correspondence. We aren't lobbyists, when dealing with another Defence Minister on vulnerability after 9/11 we were cleared and consulted. Other government departments have used our work as their standard in RFP, we lecture medical education for required education credits on environmental toxicity, early detection of breast cancer with non invasive imaging, Wi-Fi dangers, **EMF interaction with tissue can be seen** plus much more. This government's administration dismissed us without dialogue on a reported national emergency issue.

The 2nd paragraph of Health Canada's response(**link**) on August 11, 2011 refers to **Safety Code** 6 being established through evaluation of decades of scientific literature? **Safety Code** 6 acknowledges the link between frequencies and adverse health effects has <u>always</u> been missing. That was the challenge for the Royal Society of Canada Report in 1999 and carried into Safety Code 6 (2009). The second part of paragraph 2 refers to limits of RF EMF exposure and staying within minimum excitation threshhold for excitable tissue? Humans are intricate, unprotected wireless systems running at their own frequencies, they are not a piece of meat. **There is no acceptable amount of excitation or induction of an electrical system**. If you contacted BC Hydro, Fortis and any energy provider telling them you would electromagnetically induce their electrical grid, they wouldn't allow it for 1 second. It is the same for the human, pollinator or ecosystem's electrical grid, it will affect their grid.

The first part of paragraph 3 refers to RF energy not being dangerous to the public including children and this is based on available scientific evidence today(August 22nd)? Your response on October 18th, 2010 to our initial letter in September dismissed government trained electrical professionals and didn't accept electricity as peer reviewed science. Safety Code 6 is specific about the weight of evidence approach is to be used on an ongoing basis. **Your lack of qualified response on October 18, 2010** required us to provide expert witness for the Standing Committee on Health as the authority. With the mechanism found these frequencies are dangerous, **especially to children** and violate Safety Code 6.

Paragraph 3 refers to your findings being consistent with international bodies and regulators? Health Canada didn't inform the United States or other international bodies of the mechanism linking the frequencies to adverse health effects being found and reported to their authority having jurisdiction by Canadian professionals. Our letter to Health Canada on March 8, 2011 specifically asked if Health Canada passed on the mechanism being found to W.H.O. or that it was reported as an emergency. No response or weight of evidence approach used.

W.H.O. reported May 31, 2011 there was a "possible" link between cell phone frequencies and brain cancer, Health Canada didn't report the link was reported to them 8 months earlier. Our letter on June 17, 2011 to Health Canada referred to your administration being negligent in their response to W.H.O. No response, weight of evidence approach or investigation by Health Canada.

Paragraph 4 says exposure limits are reviewed regularly by an external panel of the Royal Society of Canada but the last regular review was 2009? Health Canada hasn't report to the Royal Society the mechanism linking the frequencies was found and reported in September, 2010 by government certified electrical professionals.

Dr. Tony Muc is an author of Safety Code 6 and a witness for the Standing Committee in October, 2010. In the spring of 2011, Dr. Muc completed a report for Simcoe School District on Wi-Fi safety but didn't incorporate the mechanism reported to the Standing Committee in October or their recommendations. As a result, his reporting for Simcoe Schools was incomplete and inaccurate. It is ridiculous science to consider whether the power density is hot enough to burn people but negate the frequency interaction that creates the power density. Wi-Fi is installed to communicate with wireless devices but the other wireless devices called humans don't run at those frequencies and that interaction wasn't mentioned in his reporting.

My phone dialogue with Dr. Muc on his reporting substantiated the reporting was over his head professionally. He didn't incorporate electrical professionals to substantiate the frequency conflict between Wi-Fi and biologic systems or the electromagnetic induction of an existing unprotected, electrical human grid. He admitted there would be a frequency change and electromagnetic induction but just a little. That statement alone reflects the error in the reporting. Once again, call your energy provider to ask the results if we changed the 60 Hz or electromagnetically induced their electrical grid while energized.

Paragraph 5 of your response refers to the Recommendations of the Standing Committee on Health in December 2010? While acknowledging the need for ongoing research, Health Canada ignored the Report of the Standing Committee. The report included Health Canada thoroughly investigate the oversight(mechanism) in Safety Code 6 given the reporting professional's credentials. Health Canada's thorough investigation never took place and never contacted the reporting professional. Health Canada continues to report today to school districts, teachers, unions, corporations, municipalities and provinces the frequencies are safe but doesn't include the mechanism found showing they aren't safe or the report of the committee. The Report of the Standing Committee highlights bee collapse and pollinator impact on page 33, NDP Complimentary Report.

Paragraph 5 refers to Health Canada having a number of current and ongoing research activities on the potential health impacts? How effective is any ongoing research if Health Canada isn't incorporating the mechanism reported and doesn't accept electricity as peer reviewed science? Safety Code 6 and international standards are about the limits of RF EMF exposure for humans but standards negated to include the frequencies or electrical parameters of unprotected biologic systems. You can't complete a frequency equation and leave out frequencies.

Paragraph 6 of your response says the department continues to monitor and analyze ongoing scientific research on the issue? Should new scientific evidence arise demonstrating negative health effects, Health Canada will take appropriate action to revise its guidelines? As I stated for the Standing Committee in October, 2010. It would take one day to substantiate electromagnetic induction of unprotected, intricate electrical systems would create electrical problems. Ask electrical engineers the worst case scenario when mixing frequencies, electromagnetically inducing existing electrical systems and what it would do to an electrical grid. Paragraph 6 says Health Canada would take appropriate action if scientific evidence demonstrated negative health effects?

The unprecedented health and environmental emergency is the electrical grids in this discussion are children, teachers, adults, ecosystems and Safety Code 6 refers to nerve and muscle stimulation. Doctors are not electrically qualified to determine frequency safety, have your medical professionals qualify **within their area of expertise** what it could mean to affect neurology from head to toe? Follow that question with how much neurological interference is acceptable?

SIDS is on the rise in BC, wireless interference with neurological function needs to be considered and ruled out. I don't want a response that EMFs are everywhere and natural. They are all different and these aren't natural. When frequencies go through buildings they go through tissue.

Prior to September, 2010 Health Canada could fall back on the mechanism missing in Safety Code 6 linking frequencies to adverse health effects. As a result of Health Canada not following Safety Code 6, Canadians, the international community and pollinators(economy & food sources) have been unintentionally bombarded by the equivalent of a frequency weapon for an additional 11 months after the mechanism was reported. Refer to page 3, line # 10 of Barrie Trower's declaration for US courts under threat of perjury. Www.thermoguy.com/emfeducation.html

All of our baby girls have all their eggs at birth, refer to Mr. Trower's declaration on page 18 line 67 where he talks about genetic mutation of those eggs. The peer reviewed science supporting this mechanism is called electricity. What are the ramifications and liabilities for affecting generations to reproduce? **Environmental Petition # 253** in 2008 documented adverse health effects at .1 of 1 microwatt and they were told it was under the limit of 1000 microwatts. All the adverse health effects below 1000 microwatts had the frequencies in common but the frequency interaction wasn't discussed.

Schools can and should be wired for technology upgrades, **there are no other options**. It would provide sustainable economic growth internationally immediately with billions of dollars upgrading schools with required but safe technical advancements in education. As first response consultants, how are we to represent to our military, police, fire, medical, unions, parents, etc that while they work or put their lives on the line, we are failing in the protection of their families at school?

Health Canada needs to answer for their administration of this and that includes MP Ron Cannan as to why he represented political party first before the public he represents. This information was represented to him as the chain of command to the federal authority and in full support of the authority. Mr. Cannan's response to us on April 15th and June 20th, 2011 were generic political garbage, especially when he was informed his constituents were being affected. Due to the fact issues aren't popular, further reporting through Mr. Cannan's office as our elected representative and conduit to Ottawa as the authority **are ignored** when we are just reporting to our authority. September, 2010 we reported through Mr. Cannan and Environment Minister that the same UV(natural EMF) that burns skin was causing building development to grossly exceed building codes. Massive fossil fuel waste, electrical waste and more emissions were produced responding to the symptoms while the buildings still superheated the atmosphere contributing to climate change. **No Response** while Canada's economy is being designed to react to the symptoms. In the 11 months of no response, Mr, Cannan's own constituents have buildings in violation of building code and therefore illegal. Www.thermoguy.com/urbanheat.html

When we reported to Mr. Cannan the early detection of breast cancer can be seen with non invasive imaging, **no response** while we lecture medical education for education credits required for licensing in the United States. Bringing science advancements by Canadian science professionals to Canada through other countries is ridiculous, especially when Canadians are dying from breast cancer when it can't be seen with a mammogram. Making this more horrific is the youngest to have a breast removed is 4 years old and she isn't of mammogram age for decades. Doctors need sight of their objectives where otherwise they are blind. Groundwater is considered nature's hidden treasure, **no response** when we imaged it from the air when we had no agenda except to inform the authority so they could pass it on. The world is looking for this information and it would advantage Canada in producing economy.

Something failed miserably in this process and the domino effect isn't realized. The dangers of EMFs including Wi-Fi is now lectured in medical education for education credits doctors need for licensing. An injunction against Wi-Fi in schools is in US Courts except this case is taking the mechanism linking frequencies to adverse health effects.

Here is a link to a **Wi-Fi Health Risk Advisory** which includes reports by the BC CDC and Dr. Tony Muc on Wi-Fi Safety. **Both reports are inaccurate, incomplete and of no scientific value**.

Here is a **link** to our letter to BC Energy Minister Rich Coleman on Smart Meters being illegal. **No response** from the chief energy administrator when contacted by BC and nationally certified electrical professionals while the liability escalates. The BC Government is negligent in their administration of this issue on wireless.

At the request of parents and others, we attempted contact with Perry Kendall. **No response** to EMF professionals trained and certified by the BC Government. Refer to the **Wi-Fi Health Risk Advisory** for BC Center of Disease Control on Wi-Fi Safety where there is another report from the author of Safety Code 6. **Both reports are inaccurate, incomplete and of no scientific value**.

Our opinions aren't singular, it is peer reviewed science taught in every city or province and called electricity. The application of the law has changed as a result of the mechanism found and Wi-Fi including Smart Meters are violating Safety Code 6. Health Canada has to enforce Safety Code 6. I am asking Mr. Cannan as our elected representative to report to us on the investigation avenues available through government whether ethics, Auditor General, including the R.C.M.P.

Definition of criminal negligence:

"Every one is *criminally negligent* who in doing anything, or in omitting to do anything that it is his duty to do, shows wanton or reckless disregard for the lives or safety of other persons."

It isn't legally definable as sustainable under the Auditor General Act of Canada to harm people, future generations or ecosystem.

Quite frankly, writing to the Government of Canada like this is embarrassing. In consulting for oil, gas, lumber, energy, fire, manufacturing, medicine, etc as well as their insurers, we saved lives, environment and economy by giving their professionals sight of problems before they happened. People would have died and the costs economically could have catastrophic if our consultation was ignored. No response from government has created an administrative nightmare. Their inaction and lack of qualified response leaves us with no alternative but to insist on moratoriums on Wi-Fi as well as Smart Meters. How is it possible for municipalities, school districts, provinces or corporations to administer effectively with critical information information kept from them?

Health Canada uses the Specific Absorption Rate to measure tissue heating, it can be seen but the imaging application exceeds their expertise. How is a meat probe showing physiological impact of EMFs? After Health Canada's attempt to image flu symptoms at ports of entry in 2003, they issued a press release stating thermal imaging next to useless for health monitoring. As our director, I met with Health Canada officials in Vancouver showing them the imaging application exceeded IR equipment manufacturer's expertise. Link to media

No one has enough money to compensate for the damage being done environmentally or for health costs.

Sincerely,

Curtis Bennett
Director of Operations
Interprovincial Journeyman Electrician(Red Seal)
Building Construction Engineering Technologist
Thermografix Consulting Corporation