

THERMOGRAFIX

consulting corporation

June 17, 2011

Health Canada
Ottawa, Ontario
K1A 0K9

Att: Health Minister

cc: Environment Commissioner, MP Ron Cannan, Standing Committee on Health,
Provinces, Unions & Parents

Re: Health Canada's Response on Cell Phones "Possibly Carcinogenic To Humans"

Dear Minister Aglukkaq;

Health Canada's response on the World Health Organization's Report on the "possible" link between cell phones and cancer is negligent. Safety Code 6 is specific about Health Canada using the weight of evidence approach on an ongoing basis but your administration hasn't done that in 10 months.

September, 2010 it was reported to Health Canada as our jurisdictional authority that an error or omission was found in Safety Code 6. That error or omission substantiated the missing link between RF EMFs and adverse health effects. The peer reviewed science is called electricity. The reporting professional was an operation's level consultant that has consulted for military, fire, government, industry, medicine, medical education, etc including DFO using their work as their standard in RFP. Their sole purpose for their reporting was to inform their authority having jurisdiction of a problem.

Health Canada's response in October, 2010 refused to accept electricity as peer reviewed data and repeated there wasn't any peer reviewed science supporting adverse health effects. October 26, 2010 the error or omission was presented by expert witness to Canadian Parliament's Standing on Health.

December 2010 the recommendations of the committee included Health Canada thoroughly investigate the error or omission in Safety Code 6 because of health costs, pollinators, environment and the credentials of the reporting professional.

To date Health Canada is reporting to provinces, school districts, parents, unions, corporations, etc that RF EMFs are safe but not including the error or the recommendations of the committee. As a result provincial administrators have moved forward with wireless technologies in schools when Wi-Fi is illegal today according to Safety Code 6. The reported error and mechanism being found changed the application of the law. Energy companies have raged forward with Smart Meters because they haven't been told they are illegal with the reported error.

May 31st the W.H.O. Reports a "possible" link because they haven't been informed the link was found.

May 31, the US Supreme Court weighs in after the W.H.O. Report and wants answers.

Health Canada did not pass on to the World Health Organization that an error or omission had been found in safety standards that substantiated the mechanism or link between cell phone frequencies and cancer.

June, 2011 Wi-Fi is headed to US Court except this case is bringing forward the error or mechanism showing these frequencies are linked to adverse health effects.

Canadian Courts are presently dealing with and denying EMF claims because they haven't been told the application of the law has changed with the error in Safety Code 6 showing causation. Worker's Compensation Boards are denying injured worker's claims based on the link missing because they haven't been informed the application of the law has changed.

Present reporting on Wi-Fi or RF EMF safety by provincial and national reporting agencies didn't include the error showing causation. The reporting professionals weren't credentialed electrical professionals and ignored electromagnetic induction. As a result, their reporting is incomplete and inaccurate. Dr. Tony Muc is an author of Safety Code 6 but in his reporting for Simcoe County, he negated to consider frequency interaction between the Wi-Fi router and the unprotected wireless device called child. You can't solve frequency equations and leave out frequencies but kick out a report talking about power density burning tissue. The power density exists because of the frequencies.

Wi-Fi is installed to communicate with the wireless computers, unfortunately the other unprotected, vulnerable wireless devices in the classroom called humans don't run at those frequencies. Wi-Fi has only been installed for convenience to avoid the required construction costs and has deprived the Canadian economy of important sustainable economy that advances technology in schools while keeping the public safe.

Safety Code 6 says the predominant health effect to be avoided is the unintentional stimulation of tissue or the heat effect. Experimental studies have shown it can lead to nerve and muscle depolarization. The error reported substantiates excitation is happening, it is called electromagnetic induction and is how we generate electricity.

Unions aren't negotiating for future health costs for themselves or their children being radiated in schools. It broke my heart to see media of school trustees being referred to as Nazis by parents looking for real answers on their child's safety. The trustees were upset with every right, they have been told by Health Canada Wi-Fi is safe and are trying to administer their areas within law. Corporations have liabilities but no answers.

Health Canada has created an administrative and health nightmare with their negligence. The result of that negligence is that our population and ecosystems that sustain all life is being bombarded by frequencies we aren't designed for. In a military application it would be considered an act of war to neurologically impact populations and children are more vulnerable than adults.

I am not a lobbyist, I am an objective, credentialed science professional that has proven their patriotism. This is an unprecedented health and environmental emergency that requires the authority do their job NOW. Our population has been radiated for an extra 10 months because you haven't done your job as per existing law.

Sincerely

Curtis Bennett

President

Thermografix Consulting Corporation